MAR - 5 2012



SECTION 7 510(k) SUMMARY

This summary of 510(k) safety and effectiveness information is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of SMDA 1990 and 21 CFR 807.92. The assigned 510(k) number is K111869.

807.92 (a)(1): Name:

Ventana Digital Pathology

Address:

919 Hermosa Court

Sunnyvale, CA 94085

Phone:

(408) 207-4200

FAX:

(408) 207-4299

Contact:

Mr. Índu Lakshman

807.92 (a)(2): Device name- trade name and common name, and classification

Trade name: Virtuoso™ System for IHC PR (1E2)

Common Name: Digital pathology and image analysis system for

immunochemistry-stained slides

Classifications: 21 CFR § 864.1860- Immunohistochemistry reagents and kits

Product Codes: NQN, OEO

807.92 (a)(3): Identification of the legally marketed predicate devices

The Virtuoso System for IHC PR (1E2) is substantially equivalent to the ScanScope® System for ER and PR (Aperio Technologies, Inc., Vista, CA) cleared under premarket notification K073677 on August 1, 2008. Both devices are digital pathology and image analysis systems for the consistent assessment of pathology interpretations using immunohistochemically stained slides (in this case, stained for ER expression), and both systems include slide scanner hardware, and software that both automates the procedural steps and performs the analyses.

807.92 (a)(4): Device Description

General Description

The VirtuosoTM System is an instrument-plus-software system designed to assist the qualified pathologist in the consistent assessment of protein expression in immunohistochemically stained histologic sections from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded normal and neoplastic tissues. The system consists of a slide scanner (iScan), computer, monitor, keyboard, mouse, image analysis algorithm for specific immunohistochemical marker, and software with a Windows web



specific immunohistochemical marker, and software with a Windows web browser-based user interface. Virtuoso is a web-based, end-to-end, digital pathology software solution that allows pathology laboratories to acquire, manage, view, analyze, share, and report digital images of pathology specimens. Using the Virtuoso software, the pathologist can view digital images, add annotations, make measurements, perform image analysis, and generate reports.

<u>Hardware</u>: The iScan slide scanning device captures digital images of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues that are suitable for storage and viewing. The device includes a digital slide scanner, racks for loading glass slides, computer, scanner software, keyboard, mouse and monitor.

<u>Software</u>: The Virtuoso software is designed to complement the routine workflow of a qualified pathologist in the review of immunohistochemically stained histologic slides. It allows the user to select fields of view (FOVs) in the digital image for analysis and provides quantitative data on these FOVs to assist with interpretation. The software makes no independent interpretations of the data and requires competent human intervention for all steps in the analysis process.

Additional Materials Required:

- Ventana CONFIRM™ PR (1E2) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody reagent
- Reagents for visualization, such as universal DAB universal chromogen
- Associated materials for completing immunohistochemical staining according to the appropriate package insert
- Color printer if user wishes to print color copies

Device Quality Control

The quality of results depends on the laboratory following the quality control instructions recommended in the labeling of the immunohistochemistry (IHC) reagents. The software also performs a quality check on the digital images to determine if they are suitable for further analysis using "Image Quality Assessment" algorithms.

Summary of Procedure

Samples are obtained as formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue blocks. Histologic sections are prepared and mounted onto glass slides. Slides are reacted with the PR (1E2) primary antibody,, and are then visualized using DAB. Prepared slides are loaded into the Virtuoso system scanner and scanned. The resulting digital images are reviewed by the pathologist on a computer monitor, and appropriate fields of view (FOVs) are then selected for analysis by the Virtuoso software. The Virtuoso software produces a quantitative score for the FOV and an aggregate score over all the FOVs for the whole slide. The pathologist has the choice of accepting the result or overriding with his/her own score for some or all FOVs.

Page 2 of 11



807.92 (a)(5): Intended Use

The Virtuoso system provides automated digital slide creation, management, analysis, and viewing. It is intended for in vitro diagnostic use as an aid to the pathologist in the display, detection, counting, review and classification of tissues and cells of clinical interest based on particular morphology, color, intensity, size, pattern and shape.

The VirtuosoTM System for IHC PR (1E2) is for digital read and image analysis applications. This particular Virtuoso system is intended for use as an aid to the pathologist in the detection and semi-quantitative measurement of progesterone receptor (PR) protein in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded normal and neoplastic tissue. This device is an accessory to Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. CONFIRMTM anti-Progesterone Receptor (PR) (1E2) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody assay. The CONFIRMTM anti-Progesterone Receptor (PR) (1E2) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody assay is indicated for use as an aid in the assessment of breast cancer patients for whom endocrine treatment is being considered (but is not the sole basis for treatment).

Note: The IHC PR (1E2) Digital Read and Image Analysis applications are adjunctive computer-assisted methodologies for the qualified pathologist in the acquisition and measurement of images from microscope glass slides of breast cancer specimens stained for the presence of PR protein. The pathologist should verify agreement with the Image Analysis software application score. The accuracy of the test results depends on the quality of the immunohistochemical staining. It is the responsibility of a qualified pathologist to employ appropriate morphological studies and controls as specified in the instructions for the CONFIRMTM anti-Progesterone Receptor (PR) (1E2) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody used to assure the validity of the Virtuoso System for IHC PR Digital Read and Image Analysis scores. The actual correlation of CONFIRMTM anti-PR antibody to clinical outcome has not been established.



807.92 (a)(6): Technological Similarities and Differences to the Predicate Devices

The similarities and differences between the two test systems are described below.

The sii	nilarities and differences between the t	wo test systems are described below.
Characteristic	Virtuoso TM IHC PR (1E2)	ScanScope® XT System- K073677
Intended Use/Indications for Use	This device is intended for in vitro diagnostic (IVD) use. The Virtuoso System provides automated digital slide creation, management, analysis, and viewing. It is intended for IVD use as an aid to the pathologist in the display, detection, counting, review and classification of tissues and cells of clinical interest based on particular morphology, color, size, intensity, pattern and shape. The Virtuoso TM System for IHC PR (1E2) is for digital read and image analysis applications. This particular Virtuoso system is intended for use as an aid to the pathologist in the detection and semi-quantitative measurement of progesterone receptor (PR) protein in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded normal and neoplastic tissue. This device is an accessory to Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. CONFIRM TM anti-Progesterone Receptor (PR) (1E2) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody assay. The CONFIRM TM anti-Progesterone Receptor (PR) (1E2) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody assay is indicated for use as an aid in the assessment of breast cancer patients for whom endocrine treatment is being considered (but is not the sole basis for treatment).	This device is intended for in vitro diagnostic (IVD) use. The ScanScope System is an automated digital slide creation, management, viewing, and analysis system. It is intended for IVD use as an aid to the pathologist in the display, detection, counting, and classification of tissues and cells of clinical interest based on particular color, intensity, size, pattern, and shape. The IHC ER and PR Image Analysis applications are intended for use as an aid to the pathologist in the detection and quantitative measurement of ER and PR in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded normal and neoplastic tissue. ER and PR are indicated for use as an aid in the management, prognosis, and predication of therapy outcomes of breast cancer.
Specimen Type	Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue stained by immunohistochemical technique	Same
System Operation (Digital Read and Image Analysis)	Histologic observation by a pathologist through the viewer and image analysis systems	Same
Hardware and Software	BioImagene (now Ventana) iScan slide scanner, computer, color monitor, and Virtuoso™ proprietary software for PR (1E2)	Automated digital slide scanner, computer, color monitor, keyboard, image analysis software and digital pathology information management software.
Primary Antibodies (Assay) Reagents	Ventana CONFIRM™ PR (1E2)	Dako monoclonal mouse anti-human: ERα(1D5) and PR (PgR 636)
Localization of IHC positive stain	Nucleus	Nucleus
Results Reported	Percent positive nuclei	Percent positive nuclei and intensity score
Interpretation	Interpretation is performed by the pathologist.	Same



807.92 (b)(1/2): Brief Description of Clinical Data (Non-clinical data N/A)

The Virtuoso System for IHC PR (1E2) was clinically validated via two studies. The first (primary) study evaluated overall system performance in terms of: (1) agreement between the reference manual method (with a traditional microscope) and both the digital read (DR) and image analysis (IA) applications of the Virtuoso system, (2) intra-pathologist/inter-day reproducibility of the two Virtuoso applications, and (3) inter-pathologist reproducibility of the two Virtuoso applications.

In the second study, scanner precision was evaluated in an isolated fashion via a cross-over design from the primary study. In this second study, a subset of the clinical cases (n = 40) was scanned two more times with two different scanners at two separate locations. This study evaluated scanner precision of the image analysis application only for both inter-scanner precision and intra-scanner/inter-day precision, as the image analysis application is the more objective of the two applications and is not affected by memory bias as would be the case with human interpretations. The data from both studies are summarized below.

Agreement/Concordance

a. Virtuoso Digital Read vs Manual Method

Each pathologist's Virtuoso digital read results were compared to their manual results. The data were categorized as "neg" and "pos" using classifications of less than 1% to describe negative, and 1% or greater (Categories 1 and 2 in table) to describe positive. (Category 1 was assigned to positivity of 1-10%, and Category 2 was assigned to positivity of >10%.) The overall agreements, across the three sites were 95%, 97%, and 92%. The overall, negative, and positive percent agreements, with the 95% confidence intervals (CI) around the percent agreements, are shown below.

PR Agreements: Digital Read vs Manual (manual = true score)

				Dig	ital		
Confin	sion Matrix	Site 1		Sit	e 2	Site 3	
Contus	SIOU MARTIX	(n =	112)	(n =	114)	(n =	116)
		Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos
	Neg (0)	50	3	51	0	52	1
Manuel	Pos (1+, 2+)	3	56	3	60	8	55
Manual	% Agreement	95	%	97	%	92	%
	(95% CI)	(89% -	98%)	(93% -	99%)	(86% -	96%)
Negative	% Agreement	94	%	100)%	98	%
(9	5% CI)	(85% -	98%)	(93% -	100%)	(90% -	100%)
Positive 6	% Agreement	95	%	95	%	87	%
(9	5% CI)	(86% -	98%)	(87% -	98%)	(77% -	93%)



b. Virtuoso Image Analysis vs Manual Method

The same analysis as performed for digital read was performed for image analysis. The overall agreements across the three sites were 92%, 97%, and 92%. The data tables, along with negative and positive percent agreements, plus the 95% CIs, are presented below.

PR Agreement: Image Analysis vs Manual (manual = true score)

				Image A	Analysi	S		
Confu	sion Matrix	Site 1 Site 2		Site 3				
Confusion waters		(n =	112)	2) (n = 115)		(n = 114)		
		Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	
-	Neg (0)	50	2	51	2	51	1	
M	Pos (1+, 2+)	7	53.	2	60	8	54	
Manual	% Agreement	92%		97	%	92	92%	
	(95% CI)	(85% -	96%)	(91% -	99%)	(86% -	96%)	
Negative	% Agreement	96	%	96% 98%		%		
(9	5% CI)	(87% -	99%)	(87% -	99%)	(90% -	100%)	
Positive	% Agreement	88	%	97	%	87	%	
(9	5% CI)	(78% -	94%)	(89% -	99%)	(77% -	93%)	

Reproducibility

a. <u>Intra-Pathologist/Inter-Day</u> (pair-wise comparisons, Session 1 vs Session 2, Session 1 vs Session 3, Session 2 vs Session 3)

Digital Read

The agreements between each of the three comparisons across three sessions with the same pathologist are shown below. The total agreements ranged from 85% to 90%, as shown below (with 95% CIs).

PR Reproducibility

		Int	ra-Pat	hologi	st Dig	ital		•
			Sess	ion 2	Sess	ion 3	Sess	ion 3
Confusion Matrix		Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	
			19	20	21	18	21	18
Session	Neg	20	17	2	18	1		
1	Pos	20	2	18	3	17	ii ani	
Session	Neg	. 19	e de serie				17	2
2	Pos	20				\$.	4	16
% Ag	reeme	nt	90	1%	90	%	85	%
(95	% CI)		(76% -	96%)	(76% -	96%)	(70% -	93%)



Image Analysis

The agreements between each of the three comparisons across three sessions with the same pathologist are shown below. The agreements ranged from 95% to 97%, as shown below (with 95% CIs).

PR Reproducibility

	Int	ra-Pa	atholo	gist In	nage A	nalys	is	
			Sess	ion 2	Sess	ion 3	Sess	ion 3
Confusion Matrix		Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	
	_		17	22	18	21	18	21
Session	Neg	18	17	1	17	1	41.14	ž .
1	Pos	21	0	21	1	20	· eBr-	1,000
Session	Neg	17	1 +4 2 4	₹	. 5		17	0
2	Pos	22	, No. 47.	*. · .		* *, ;	1	21
% Ag	reeme	nt	. 97	%	95	%	97	%
. (95	% CI)		(87% -	100%)	(83% -	99%)	(87% -	100%)

b. <u>Inter-Pathologist</u> (pair-wise comparisons, Pathologist 1 vs Pathologist 2, Pathologist 1 vs Pathologist 3, Pathologist 2 vs Pathologist 3)

Manual Read

The three manual readings across the three pathologists were compared to each other. The agreements ranged from 92% to 97%, indicating minimal variation among the three pathologists.

PR Manual Read, Inter-Pathologist

		Inte	r-Patl	nologi	st Mar	ıual		
-	r		Sit	te 2	Sit	e 3	Sit	e 3
Confus	ion Ma	trix	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos
			55	64	56	63	56	63
Site 1	Neg	56	53	3	51	5	14 July 2007	
Sile i	Pos	62	1	61	4	58		
C:4. 1	Neg	55	12 . 127	• 46.		. 1.	51	3
Site 2	Pos	64		y ".	1,0	. •	4	60
% Ag	reemer	nt	97	%	92	%	94	%
(95	% CI)		(92% -	99%)	(86% -	96%)	(88% -	97%)



Digital Read

The reproducibility in the Virtuoso digital readings among the three pathologists is shown below, along with the 95% CIs. The percent total agreements ranged from 92% to 97%.

PR Digital Read, Inter-Pathologist

				hologi	st Dig	ital		
			Sit	te 2	Sit	te 3	Sit	e 3
Confus	Confusion Matrix		Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos
			54	60	60	56	60	56
C:40 1	Neg	53	51	1	50	2		
Site 1	Pos	59	2	57	7	52	.0.24	i.
G:4- 3	Neg	54					50	2
Site 2	Pos	60		er in Ar	et 1	,	6	54
% Ag	reemen	ıt	· 97	1%	92	%	93	%
(95	% CI)		(92% -	99%)	(85% -	96%)	(87% -	96%)

Image Analysis

The reproducibility in the Virtuoso image analysis interpretations among the three pathologists is shown below, along with the 95% CIs. The percent agreements ranged from 92% to 95%.

PR Image Analysis, Inter-Pathologist

	Inte	r-P	atholo	gist Ir	nage A	nalys	is	
			Sit	e 2	Sit	e 3	Sit	e 3
Confus	Confusion Matrix		Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos
4 -			53	62	60	55	60	55
Cita 1	Neg	57	50	6	54	2	·y	
Site 1	Pos	55	0	55	3	52		*
614.3	Neg	53			ş: .	s	52	• 1
Site 2	Pos	62				· .	8	54
% A g	greement	t	95	%	95	%	92	%
(95	% CI)		(89% -	97%)	(90% -	98%)	(86% -	96%)

Scanner Precision

Forty (40) cases representing the useful categories of <1%, 1-10%, and 10% positive staining for PR were scanned on three different scanners at three different sites to assess inter-scanner precision, and the same three FOVs (total = 120) were captured and evaluated each time by the image analysis application. Limiting the

Page 8 of 11



study to image analysis only ensured that only scanner precision was under evaluation, as all other factors were kept constant. Similarly, these same 40 and three FOVs per case were scanned on three different days by the same scanner to assess intra-scanner/inter-day precision.

Pairwise comparisons were performed between each of the three sites (interscanner), and between each of the three days (sessions, intra-scanner). The precision tables are found below.

PR Inter-Scanner Agreement Rates (Site to Site)

Image Analysis	Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Site 2						
Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Site 1	<1%	1-10%	>10%	Total			
<1%	68	3	0	· 71			
1-10%	0	7	1,	8			
>10%	0	0	38	38			
Total	68	10	. 39	117			
Overall Percent Agreement: 96.6% (113/117) 95% CI: (91.5% to 98.7%)	•	-					

Image Analysis	Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Site 3						
Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Site 1	<1%	1-10%	>10%	Total			
<1%	71	0	0	71			
1-10%	2	6	0	8			
>10%	0	1	37	. 38			
Total	73	7	37	117			
Overall Percent Agreement: 97.4% (114/117)	-		-				
95% CI: (92.7% to 99.1%)							

Image Analysis		Virtuoso PR (11	E2) Results - Site	3
Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Site 2	<1%	1-10%	>10%	Total
<1%	68	0	0	68
1-10%	5	5	0	10
>10%	0	2	37	39
Total	73	7	37	117
Overall Percent Agreement: 94.0% (110/117) 95% CI: (88.2% to 97.1%)				

Conclusion (PR Inter-scanner)

Overall inter-scanner percent agreements for the three categories ranged from 94.0% to 97.4% for all FOVs combined.



PR Intra-Scanner/Inter-Day Agreement Rates (Session-to-Session)

Image Analysis		/irtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Sessio	n 2
Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Session 1	<1%	1-10%	>10%	Total
<1%	68	0	0	68
1-10%	2	8	0	. 10
>10%	0	1	38	39
Total	70	9	38	117
Overall Percent Agreement: 97.4% (114/117) 95% CI: (92.7% to 99.1%)				

Image Analysis	Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Session 3			
Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Session 1	<1%	1-10%	>10%	Total
<1%	64	1	0	65
1-10%	1	9	0	10
>10%	0	0	36	36
Total	65	10	36	111
Overall Percent Agreement: 98.2% (109/111) 95% CI: (93.7% to 99.5%)				

Image Analysis	Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Session 3			
Virtuoso PR (1E2) Results- Session 2	<1%	1-10%	>10%	Total
<1%	65	2	0	67
1-10%	0	8	1	9
>10%	0	0	35 .	35
Total	65	10	36	111
Overall Percent Agreement: 97.3% (108/111) 95% CI: (92.4% to 99.1%)	:			

Conclusion (PR Intra-scanner/Inter-day)

Overall percent agreements for the three categories ranged 97.3% to 98.2% for all FOVs combined.

Additional Analyses:

The precision data for the Virtuoso PR system also underwent analysis for percent coefficient of variation (%CV); this could be achieved as the system provides a quantitative output that is then interpreted as a semi-quantitative (<1%, 1-10%, >10%) output.

The results from the inter-scanner percent %CV analyses are presented in the table below. The %CVs were derived for each source of variability as the standard deviation (SD) for that source, divided by the mean, multiplied by 100%. %CVs of less than 10% are generally considered to demonstrate good precision. Across all 351 evaluable observations, the mean percent positivity for PR was 25.93%. The %CV for "sites" measures precision of the site-to-site scanning and, at 0.00%, demonstrates that scanning results were reproducible between sites. The %CV for "case" represents between-case biological variability, and the %CV for the residual term represents



within-case, between-field biological variability, and as such, these sources of variability are outside the scope of scanner performance.

PR Inter-Scanner %CV Analyses

Parameter	Statistic	FOVs
ercent Positivity (%)	N	351
	Mean	25.926
	Site (Scanner) SD	0.000
	Site (Scanner) %CV	0.00
	FOV SD	39.112
	FOV %CV	150.86
	Residual SD	9.828
	Residual %CV	37.91

Results of the inter-day %CV analyses for PR are presented in the table below. Here, the %CV for "day" is shown to be 0.00%. Since it is impossible for a variance to be negative, the model sets the variance component to zero in those cases. Thus, a %CV of 0 should not be interpreted as a complete absence of variability for that particular source, but rather as variability that is negligible in magnitude. Thus, for the one site that repeated measurements on multiple days, reproducibility between days was shown to be extremely high. As before, the %CVs for "case" and for the residual term reflects the between-case and between-field biological heterogeneity, factors that are outside the scope of scanner performance.

PR Intra-Scanner, Inter-Day %CV Analyses

Parameter	Statistic	All FOVs
Percent Positivity (%)	N	345
	Mean	26.014
	Day SD	0.000
	Day %CV	0.00
	FOV SD	39.419
	FOV %CV	151.53
	Residual SD	9.678
	Residual %CV	37.20

807.92 (b)(3): Conclusions from Clinical Testing

Concordance, reproducibility, and precision studies were performed for the Virtuoso System for IHC PR (1E2). The test system was shown to be safe and effective for its intended use.





Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993

Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. c/o Mr. Troy Quander Vice President, Regulatory Affairs 1910 East Innovation Park Drive Tucson, Arizona 85755

MAR 0 5 2012

Re: k111869

Trade/Device Name: Virtuoso™ System for IHC PR (1E2)

Regulation Number: 21 CFR §864.1860

Regulation Name: Immunohistochemistry reagents and kits

Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: NQN, OEO Dated: January 17, 2012 Received: January 18, 2012

Dear Mr. Quander:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration.

If your device is classified (see above) into class II (Special Controls), it may be subject to such additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Parts 800 to 895. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Parts 801 and 809); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803); and good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820). This letter will allow you to begin marketing your device as described in your Section 510(k) premarket

Page 2 – Troy Quander

notification. The FDA finding of substantial equivalence of your device to a legally marketed predicate device results in a classification for your device and thus, permits your device to proceed to the market.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Parts 801 and 809), please contact the Office of *In Vitro* Diagnostic Device Evaluation and Safety at (301) 796-5450. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/ReportaProblem/default.htm for the CDRH's Office of Surveillance and Biometrics/Division of Postmarket Surveillance.

You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/industry/support/index.html.

Sincerely yours,

Maria M. Chan, Ph.D.

Director

Division of Immunology and Hematology Devices

Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Devices

marie m chan

Evaluation and Safety

Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

INDICATIONS FOR USE

510(k) Number (if Known):

K111869

Device Name:

Virtuoso™ System for IHC PR (1E2)

Indications for Use

The Virtuoso system provides automated digital slide creation, management, analysis, and viewing. It is intended for in vitro diagnostic use as an aid to the pathologist in the display, detection, counting, review and classification of tissues and cells of clinical interest based on particular morphology, color, intensity, size, pattern and shape.

The VirtuosoTM System for IHC PR (1E2) is for digital read and image analysis applications. This particular Virtuoso system is intended for use as an aid to the pathologist in the detection and semi-quantitative measurement of progesterone receptor (PR) protein in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded normal and neoplastic tissue. This device is an accessory to Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. CONFIRMTM anti-Progesterone Receptor (PR) (1E2) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody assay. The CONFIRMTM anti-Progesterone Receptor (PR) (1E2) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody assay is indicated for use as an aid in the assessment of breast cancer patients for whom endocrine treatment is being considered (but is not the sole basis for treatment).

Note: The IHC PR (1E2) Digital Read and Image Analysis applications are adjunctive computer-assisted methodologies for the qualified pathologist in the acquisition and measurement of images from microscope glass slides of breast cancer specimens stained for the presence of PR protein. The pathologist should verify agreement with the Image Analysis software application score. The accuracy of the test results depends on the quality of the immunohistochemical staining. It is the responsibility of a qualified pathologist to employ appropriate morphological studies and controls as specified in the instructions for the CONFIRMTM anti-Progesterone Receptor (PR) (1E2) Rabbit Monoclonal Primary Antibody used to assure the validity of the Virtuoso System for IHC PR Digital Read and Image Analysis scores. The actual correlation of CONFIRMTM anti-PR antibody to clinical outcome has not been established.

Prescription Use X	AND/OR	Over-The-Counter Use
(Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)		(21 CFR 801 Subpart C)
(PLEASE DO NOT WRITE	BELOW THIS LI	NE-CONTINUE ON ANOTHER PAGE IF NEEDED)
Concurr	ence of CDRH, Off	ice of In Vitro Diagnostics (OIVD)

Office of in Vitro Diagnostic
Device Evaluation and Safety

510(k) K111869